| C  | case 2:04-cv-02338-RSM Document 253 Filed 02/05/2007 Page 1 of 13 1                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | IN SEATTLE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | ROBERT KELLY, )   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Plaintiff, ) No. C 04-2338RSM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | v. )  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | THE CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT ) OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST ) OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Defendant. )  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .1 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _2 | VERDICT   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _3 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _5 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .6 | October 12, 2006  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .8 | APPEARANCES:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .9 | For the Plaintiff: Michael T. Pfau  Tim Kosnoff   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | and<br>Michael Rosenberger<br>Attorneys at Law  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | For the Defendant: Charles Gordon   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | and Jeffrey Tilden  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Attorneys at Law  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 THE COURT: Counsel, the jury has indicated a 2 verdict. Please bring in the jury. 3 (At this time the jury entered the courtroom.) 4 THE COURT: Juror Number 6, Mr. Dukenthaler, you 5 are the foreperson? JUROR NO. 6: Yes, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Has the jury reached a verdict? JUROR NO. 6: Yes, they have. 8 9 THE COURT: Would you hand the jury forms to our 10 The Court will announce the verdict of the jury. 11 The following along with the special verdict form: Question 12 number one: "Did plaintiff commence this action within the 13 period of time required by law?" Answer: "Yes." 14 Question number two: "Do you find that the 15 defendant is negligent in this case?" Answer: "Yes." 16 Question number three: "Was such negligence a proximate cause of injury to plaintiff?" Answer: "Yes." 17 18 Question four: "Do you find that any of the 19 Answer: following non-parties were negligent in this case?" 20 "Herman Allenbach, yes; Dorothy Kelly, yes; Jerry Kelly, 21 yes." 22 Question five: "Do you find that such 23 negligence, if any, was a proximate cause of injury to 24 plaintiff?" Answer: "Herman Allenbach, yes; Dorothy Kelly, 25 yes; Jerry Kelly, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Ouestion six: "Do you find that Herman Allenbach was an agent of defendant acting within the scope of his authority with respect to the acts or omissions regarding Mr. Loholt?" Answer: "No." Question eight: "Assume that 100 percent represents the total combined negligence that proximately caused plaintiff's injuries. What percentage of this total negligence is attributable to defendant and what percentage is attributable to each non-party whose fault was found by you, if any?" Answer: "Defendant, 25 percent; Herman Allenbach 45 percent; Dorothy Kelly, Jerry Kelly 15 percent each." Question nine: "What do you find of the amount of plaintiff's compensatory damages?" Answer: \$1,400,000." Question ten: What percentage of damages in your answer to question nine were caused by negligent conduct and what percentage were caused by Mr. Loholt's intentional conduct?" Answer: "Negligent 25 percent, intentional 75 percent. The next thing I am going to do is poll the By that I am going to ask you a question, simply to be answered yes or no. Actually two questions, yes or no. All right. Starting with Juror Number 1,

Ms. Anderson, is this your verdict?

JUROR NO. 1: Yes, it is.

| 1  | THE COURT: Is it the verdict of the jury.         |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | JUROR NO. 1: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | THE COURT: Juror Number 2, Ms. Kelly, is your     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | verdict?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | JUROR NO. 2: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury?           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | JUROR NO. 2: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | THE COURT: Juror Number 3, Ms. Evans, is this     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | your verdict?                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | JUROR NO. 3: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury?           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | JUROR NO. 3: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: Juror Number 4, Ms. Vant, is this your |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | verdict?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | JUROR NO. 4: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury?           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | JUROR NO. 4: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | THE COURT: Juror Number 5, Mr. Anderson, is this  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | your verdict?                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | JUROR NO. 5: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury?           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | JUROR NO. 5: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | THE COURT: Juror Number 6, Mr. Dukenthaler, is    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | this your verdict?                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | JUROR NO. 6: Yes.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury? 1 2 JUROR NO. 6: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Juror Number 7, Mr. Sefas, is this 4 your verdict? 5 JUROR NO. 7: Yes. THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury? 6 7 JUROR NO. 7: Yes. THE COURT: Juror Number 8, Mr. Galvin, is this 8 9 your verdict? 10 JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 11 THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury? 12 JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Juror Number 9, Mr. McGrath, is this 14 your verdict? 15 JUROR NO. 9: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And the verdict of the jury? 17 JUROR NO. 9: Yes. THE COURT: Madam Clerk, the verdict form appears 18 19 The jurors having answered unanimously the to be in order. 20 verdict form will be accepted by the Court. All right. 21 That completes your service as jurors on this particular 22 On behalf of all the judges of our district and 23 certainly on my own behalf, I want to thank you so very much 24 for participating with us in this process. I think a couple 25 of you had been jurors before on other matters, but probably

2.0

nothing like this. I hope that the process itself, going through this process, sitting in the courtroom listening to the witnesses testify, listening to the attorneys ask questions and get answers showed you how important your role as a juror is in deciding these types of issues.

You understand that every now and then judges serve the role of both judge and jury. That is called a bench trial. Sometimes there will be no jury, and I have to decide not only the legal issues but also the factual issues that may come up. That always makes it tough for me. For me it is always better when we have people like you from the community sit and listen to a dispute amongst parties and then resolve that dispute under our system.

I think the attorneys put it very well in their closing arguments when they told you about the statistics involving how many people will be called to jury duty. You understand that the system would not function without it.

There is nothing magical about the system. It is different around the world. If you travel to many other countries -- There are many countries that do not have the jury system. There are some countries that have a jury system and do it differently. In Brazil, for example, they have a jury like this, criminal and civil matters. The jury does not deliberate. At the end of the trial, the minute it is done, the jurors are asked to vote, the majority wins.

That's all it takes.

2.0

We have a different system. We believe that this system works for us. I have a deep and abiding belief in the jury system. And it would not work without people like you being willing to give of your time and your effort to do this with us.

So I now release you from the Court's earlier instructions. You are free to discuss this case with anyone. You are just as free to say, no thanks, if anyone asks you any questions about it, I don't want to discuss it.

The attorneys in federal court are not allowed to have contact with you. They are not allowed to talk with you. But your friends, anyone else, you are free to tell them whatever you want.

The notes that you took will be destroyed. If you want them, rip them out, you are free to take them with you. Just remember, now once you leave the courthouse with those particular notes I don't want them just flying everywhere if you understand what I'm saying. The discussion that took place back there is strictly confidential amongst you that make up the jury. So remember that when you discuss the matter, if you choose to discuss the matter with anyone else, a certain amount of confidential information that may have been given to you by other people back there, and how you relay that to other

2.0

3 8

people I think is sometimes a very sensitive thing. So just think about it before you start making comments. Other than that, as I said, you are free to discuss it with anyone, you are just as free to say no thanks, I care to make no comment, and no one will ask you anything further.

Just do me one favor, and for the other judges of this district, for all the judges in our state, if you ever hear of anyone saying to you, oh, I just got this summons in the mail to go to jury duty, and I am trying to figure out how to get out of it, before you answer how to get out of it, you tell them what your experience was like, whether you found it good, bad, frustrating, whatever. You tell them what your experience was like. But also remind them that if everybody gets out of it then we don't have the jury system that we need to be able to make these very difficult decisions.

Thank you all so very much. You are excused.

(At this time the jury left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. Counsel, the jurors had sent out several other additional questions today, aside from the one we spoke of the other day. The questions were fairly easily answered by this Court, but I want you to see them. We have obviously got records of every question they sent out. I want you to see them and see the answers that the Court sent back before you will be

2.0

excused. Counsel, as you remember, the very first question they sent out, "can we obtain a copy of the law regarding the statute of limitations as referenced in Instruction

Numbers 24 and 25?" And we discussed that one. And the

Court's response was to actually send in a copy of the statute.

The other question was --

MR. KOSOFF: Your Honor, before you go on to the next one I would like to the record to reflect in that conference call we had about that instruction that we asked the Court to provide the additional statute of limitations instructions, but also, short of that, that the Court give them the entire statute with the legislative intent findings as well. And I understand that didn't become an issue with the jury, but I would like the record to reflect that we did ask the Court to reconsider giving them the entire instructions on statute of limitations, and the Court declined to do that.

THE COURT: Yes, you did. For purposes of our record, let me indicate the defense actually objected to having anything further going into the jury regarding anything further from that statute. As it turns out, Mr. Kosnoff, it didn't have an impact here.

The next question that came out from the jury, actually a series of questions -- You are going to have to

be looking at your verdict form to follow the questions.

The question is: "Question number seven, is this the correct question? It appears it is almost a duplicate of number eight, except one of the answers for number eight includes Herman Allenbach as an option for non-party.

Should there be another question for number seven?" The Court's response: "Please reread, questions four, five and six."

Question two: "If we choose to award damages, can we require Mr. Kelly to attend treatment therapy as a condition of the award? If so, can we require annualized payments?"

Question three: "Can we get copies of testimony from the following, Rochelle Cope, Julie Ellis, Jovine Umali?"

Question four: "Do we have the option to answer the questions in the special verdict form with answers that are not indicated?

The Court's response: "The answer to questions two, three and four is no."

And the final question that came out -- actually the penultimate question that came out: "End of case instructions ask us to determine the amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate the plaintiff for such damages as you find were proximately caused by the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

defendant. Are the answers to satisfy the instructions and question number nine of the special verdict form the same dollar amount?"

The Court's response: "Yes."

Final question: "Is the amount to be indicated in question nine the amount to be paid by the defendant or is this an amount to be further divided by previously indicated percentages as in question eight?"

The Court's response: "Please carefully review your special verdict form."

These are actually made a part of the record.

Anything further, Counsel?

MR. TILDEN: Nothing, your Honor.

MR. KOSOFF: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Gentleman, I want to say one thing.

These are difficult cases, no doubt about it, from both sides. A lot of emotion. Everybody acknowledges that Mr. Kelly went through a lot. It is not deserved by any person, much less any kid. From my perspective, I just want to say that it has been a pleasure to have such competent counsel in a case like this. When I came to federal court I had such high hopes that this is what I would see all the time. Unfortunately it doesn't quite work out that way. I am really glad we had a jury to make this determination. I am glad I didn't have to make this kind of factual

```
1
      determination. But it was an absolute pleasure watching
 2
      very good, professional individuals practicing their craft
 3
      in front of me. Thank you.
                            Thank you.
 4
                 MR. PFAU:
 5
                 THE COURT: We will be in recess.
                                 (Adjourned.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| С  | ase 2:04-cv-02338-RSM | Document 253     | Filed 02/05/2007                    | Page 13 of 13 | 13     |  |  |
|----|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------|--|--|
|    |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 1  | CERTIFICATE           |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 2  |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 3  |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 4  |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 5  |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 6  |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 7  |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 8  |                       |                  | 0.551 1 3 0 1 1                     |               | 1      |  |  |
| 9  | certify that the      |                  | Official Court I<br>nscript is true |               | ereby: |  |  |
| 10 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 11 |                       |                  | S/Barry L.                          | Fanning       |        |  |  |
| 12 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 13 |                       | Barry L. Fanning |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 14 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 15 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 16 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 17 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 18 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 19 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 20 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 21 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 22 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 23 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 24 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
| 25 |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |
|    |                       |                  |                                     |               |        |  |  |